UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,603	02/04/2004	Sung-Bum Park	5000-1-511	3429	
33942 CHA & REITE	7590 02/27/2007 R. LLC		EXAMINER		
210 ROUTE 4 EAST STE 103 PARAMUS, NJ 07652			LIU, LI		
		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		N.	2613		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	02/27/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•			cK
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7/
	10/771,603	PARK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner .	Art Unit	
	Li Liu	2613	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this cooned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	anuary 2007.		•
,	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters,	, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	l.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	r		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•	•	1
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Exami	ner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form P	ГО-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ta hara da ara da a		
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •		0.1
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eived in this National	Stage
application from the International Burea	, , , ,	الم مدينا م	•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	. or the certified copies not rec	eiveu.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumi Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered and are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song et al (US 2004/0033076) as applied to claims 1-2 above, and in view of Arecco (US 6,400,476) and Oberg et al (US 2005/0084262).
- 1). With regard to claim 1, Song et al discloses a wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) hubbed ring network (Figure 6) in which one central office is connected to a plurality of remote nodes by one optical transmission line, said network comprising:

said one central office (CO in Figure 6), said one central office being configured for generating a high-priority optical signal (the normal signal from TX1 and MUX1 in Figure 6, [0071]-[0078]) and a low-priority optical signal (the redundancy signal from TX2 and MUX2 in Figure 6, for self-healing purpose, [0072] - [0078]) at each wavelength corresponding to a channel in a first channel group (the transmitted λ_1 , λ_2 ... λ_n), WDM-multiplexing (two multiplexers MUX1 and MUX2 in Figure 6) high-priority

optical signals and low-priority optical signals of respective channels in the first channel group, transmitting the multiplexed optical signals to each of the remote nodes in different directions ring-wise a round said ring network by means of said one optical transmission line (Single Fiber Bi-directional, the normal signal and redundancy signal are transmitted in opposite directions), and receiving from said remote nodes, at each wavelength corresponding to a channel in a channel group (the added $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ from remote nodes) and in respectively different directions ring-wise around said ring network, a high-priority optical signal (received by RX1 in Figure 6) and a low-priority optical signal (received by RX2 in Figure 6); and

said remote nodes (e.g., RN1, RNn etc in Figure 6), said remote nodes being configured for receiving (the Add/Drop in Figure 6 is shown in Figure 5) from said central office by means of said one optical transmission line and in respectively different directions ring-wise around said ring network a high-priority optical signal (e.g., the signal λ_m dropped by WDM 201 in Figure 5) and a low-priority optical signal (e.g., the signal λ_m dropped by WDM 202 in Figure 5) at a common wavelength that corresponds to a respective channel in the first channel group (Figure 5, [0071]), generating a high-priority optical signal (e.g., the signal λ_m , shown in dashed line, added by WDM 201 in Figure 5, and Figure 6) and a low-priority optical signal (e.g., the signal λ_m , shown in dashed line, added by WDM 202 in Figure 5, and Figure 6) at a common wavelength corresponding to any channel in a channel group (the added λ_1 , λ_2 ... λ_n from remote nodes), and transmitting to the central office by means of said one optical transmission line (single fiber transmission line, Figure 5 and 6) and in respectively different

Art Unit: 2613

directions ring-wise around said ring network the generated high-priority and low-priority optical signals at said common wavelength corresponding to said any channel in the second channel group (Figure 5 and 6, RN1 transmits the high priority signal in counterclockwise and the low priority signal in clockwise).

But, Song et al teaches that the dropped channels and the added wavelengths are in same group or same wavelengths. Song et al does not disclose that the second channel group with different wavelengths are added or transmitted to the center office (CO) and the center office receives the second channel group.

However, to use different wavelengths for upstream and downstream is well known and widely used method. Oberg et al discloses that the center office can transmit low channels or odd channels for downstream and the remote node can add high channel or even channels for upstream (Figure 5, [0066]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the wavelength arrangement as taught by Oberg et al to the system of Song et al so that the upstream and downstream channels have different wavelengths and then the interference between channels with same wavelength can be reduced and the wavelength mixing due to the backward scattering of the same wavelength can be eliminated.

2). With regard to claim 2, Song et al and Oberg et al discloses all of the subject matter as applied to claim 1 above, and Song et al further discloses wherein said central office comprises:

Art Unit: 2613

a plurality of light sources (TX1 and TX2 etc in Figure 1) for generating a highpriority optical signal and a low-priority optical signal for each channel in the first channel group;

multiplexers for WDM-multiplexing the high-priority optical signal (MUX1 in Figure 6) and the low-priority optical signal (MUX2 in Figure 6) of each channel in the first channel group;

demultiplexers for demultiplexing a high-priority optical signal (MUX1 in Figure 6) and a low-priority optical signal (MUX2 in Figure 6) of each channel in a channel group, transmitted bidirectionally from the optical transmission line; and

a plurality of receivers (RX1 and RX2 in Figure 6) for receiving the demultiplexed high-priority optical signal and low-priority optical signal for each channel.

But, Song et al teaches that the downstream and upstream wavelengths are in same group or same wavelengths. Song et al does not disclose that the center office (CO) receives the second channel group.

However, to use different wavelengths for upstream and downstream is well known and widely used method. Oberg et al discloses that the center office can transmit low channels or odd channels for downstream and the remote node can add high channel or even channels for upstream (Figure 5, [0066]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the wavelength arrangement as taught by Oberg et al to the system of Song et al so that the upstream and downstream channels have different wavelengths and then the interference between channels with same

wavelength can be reduced and the wavelength mixing due to the backward scattering of the same wavelength can be eliminated.

3). With regard to claim 18, Song et al et discloses a central office of a wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) hubbed ring network in which one central office (CO in Figure 6) is connected to a plurality of remote nodes (e.g., the RN1 ... RNn et al. in Figure 6) by one optical transmission line (single optical fiber network, [0070]), said central office being configured for generating a high-priority optical signal (the normal signal from TX1 and MUX1 in Figure 6, [0072]) and a low-priority optical signal (the redundancy signal from TX2 and MUX2 in Figure 1, [0072] and [0078]) at each wavelength corresponding to a channel in a first channel group (the transmitted $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots$ λ_n), WDM-multiplexing (two multiplexers MUX1 and MUX2 in Figure 6) high-priority optical signals and low-priority optical signals of respective channels in the first channel group, transmitting the multiplexed optical signals to each of the remote nodes (RN1, ... RNn etc. in Figure 6) in different directions ring-wise a round said ring network by means of said one optical transmission line (Single Fiber Bi-directional, Figure 6), and receiving (RX1 and RX 2 in Figure 6) from said remote nodes, at each wavelength corresponding to a channel in a channel group and in respectively different directions ring-wise around said ring network, a high-priority optical signal (received by RX1 in Figure 6) and a low-priority optical signal (received by RX2 in Figure 6).

But, Song et al teaches that the dropped channels and the added wavelengths are in same group or same wavelengths. Song et al does not disclose that the second

channel group with different wavelengths are added or transmitted to the center office (CO) and the center office receives the second channel group.

Page 7

However, to use different wavelengths for upstream and downstream is well known and widely used method. Oberg et al discloses that the center office can transmit low channels or odd channels for downstream and the remote node can add high channel or even channels for upstream (Figure 5, [0066]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the wavelength arrangement as taught by Oberg et al to the system of Song et al so that the upstream and downstream channels have different wavelengths and then the interference between channels with same wavelength can be reduced and the wavelength mixing due to the backward scattering of the same wavelength can be eliminated.

4). With regard to claim 19, Song et al and Oberg et al discloses all of the subject matter as applied to claim 18 above, and Song et al further discloses that said network further comprising said remote nodes, said remote nodes (RN1, ... RNn etc in Figure 6) being configured for receiving (the Add/Drop in Figure 6 is shown in Figure 5) from said central office by means of said one optical transmission line and in respectively different directions ring-wise around said ring network a high-priority optical signal (e.g., the clockwise signals $\lambda 1 \dots \lambda n$ from TX1 and MUX1 of CO in Figure 6, and λm received by the ADD/DROP WDM 201 in Figure 5, [0064],[0065]) and a low-priority optical signal (e.g., the counter-clockwise signals $\lambda 1 \dots \lambda n$ from TX2 and MUX2 of CO in Figure 6,

and λm received by the ADD/DROP WDM 202 in Figure 5, [0064], [0065]) at a common wavelength that corresponds to a respective channel in the first channel group.

5). With regard to claim 20, Song et al and Oberg et al discloses all of the subject matter as applied to claim 18 and 19 above, and Song et al further discloses said remote nodes being further configured for generating a high-priority optical signal (e.g., the signal λ_m , shown in dashed line, counter-clockwise, added by WDM 201 in Figure 5, and Figure 6) and a low-priority optical signal (e.g., the signal λ_m , shown in dashed line, clockwise, added by WDM 202 in Figure 5, and Figure 6) at a common wavelength corresponding to any channel in a channel group (the added $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ from remote nodes), and transmitting to the central office by means of said one optical transmission line (single fiber transmission line, Figure 5 and 6) and in respectively different directions ring-wise around said ring network the generated high-priority and low-priority optical signals at said common wavelength corresponding to said any channel in the second channel group (Figure 5 and 6, e.g., the RN1 transmits the high priority signal in counter-clockwise and the low priority signal in clockwise).

But, Song et al teaches that the dropped channels and the added wavelengths are in same group or same wavelengths. Song et al does not disclose that a second channel group with different wavelengths are added or transmitted to the center office (CO) and the center office receives the second channel group.

However, to use different wavelengths for upstream and downstream is well known and widely used method. Oberg et al discloses that the center office can transmit

Art Unit: 2613

low channels or odd channels for downstream and the remote node can add high channel or even channels for upstream (Figure 5, [0066]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the wavelength arrangement as taught by Oberg et al to the system of Song et al so that the upstream and downstream channels have different wavelengths and then the interference between channels with same wavelength can be reduced and the wavelength mixing due to the backward scattering of the same wavelength can be eliminated.

- 4. Claims 3-5 and 12-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song et al (US 2004/0033076) and Oberg et al (US 2005/0084262) as applied to claims 1-2 above, and in further view of Arecco (US 6,400,476).
- 1). With regard to claim 3, Song et al and Oberg et al discloses all of the subject matter as applied to claims 1 and 2 above.

But Song et al does not expressly discloses: (A) first optical switches for setting a path to the multiplexers, according to priorities, for the high-priority optical signal and the low-priority optical signal of each channel in the first channel group from the light sources; and (B) second optical switches for setting a path to the receivers according to priorities, for the high-priority optical signal and the low-priority optical signal of each channel in the second channel group, transmitted bidirectionally from the optical transmission line.

However, Arecco discloses the first optical switches (131 in Figure 1, column 5 line 9-43, and column 7 line 24-30) for setting a path to the multiplexers, according to

priorities, for the high-priority optical signal and the low-priority optical signal of each channel in the first channel group from the light sources; and second optical switches (132 in Figure 1, column 5 line 9-43, and column 7 line 24-30) for setting a path to the receivers according to priorities, for the high-priority optical signal and the low-priority optical signal of each channel in the second channel group, transmitted bidirectionally from the optical transmission line.

By monitoring and switching, traffic can be controlled or routed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the switches taught by Arecco to the system of Song et al and Oberg et al so that a low cost single fiber system with high priority channels being protected can be obtained.

2). With regard to claim 4, Song et al and Oberg et al and Arecco et disclose all of the subject matter as applied to claims 1-3 above. But Song et al does not disclose wherein said central office monitors presence/absence of a system failure by measuring for each channel the output created by the demultiplexer in the demultiplexing of said high-priority optical signal.

However, Arecco discloses the monitoring presence/absence of a system failure (column 5 line 27-30, Figure 2).

By monitoring and switching, traffic can be controlled or routed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the monitoring taught by Arecco to the system of Song et al and Oberg et

al so that a low cost single fiber system with high priority channels being protected can be obtained.

3). With regard to claim 5, Song et al and Oberg et al and Arecco discloses all of the subject matter as applied to claims 1-4 above. But Song et al does not expressly discloses optical couplers, photo diodes and optical switch control circuits.

However, Arecco further discloses wherein said central office comprises:

optical couplers (222 in Figure 2) each connected to an output terminal of each channel's optical signal from the demultiplexer for demultiplexing the high-priority optical signal in the second channel group, the optical coupler extracting a high-priority optical signal;

photo diodes (219 in Figure 2) connected to the associated optical couplers, for detecting optical power of each channel's optical signal; and

optical switch control circuits (220 and 221 in Figure 2) connected to the associated photo diodes, for simultaneously controlling the optical switches according to optical powers detected by the photo diodes.

By monitoring the signal loss, traffic can be controlled or routed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the monitoring taught by Arecco to the system of of Song et al and Oberg et al so that a low cost single fiber system with high priority channels being protected can be obtained.

4). With regard to claim 12, Song et al and Oberg et al and Arecco discloses all of the subject matter as applied to claims 1 and 2 above. But Song et al does not

Art Unit: 2613

expressly discloses wherein the central office further comprises a circulator connected to the optical transmission line, for outputting the multiplexed optical signals in the first channel group from the multiplexers to the optical transmission line, and outputting the optical signals in the second channel group, received from the optical transmission line, to the demultiplexers.

However, Oberg et al discloses a circulator (39 in Figure 6) connected to the optical transmission line, for outputting the multiplexed optical signals in the first channel group from the multiplexers to the optical transmission line, and outputting the optical signals in the second channel group, received from the optical transmission line, to the demultiplexers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply circulator taught by Oberg et al to the system of Song et al and Oberg et al so that the a low cost single fiber bi-directional system with high priority channels being protected can be obtained.

5). With regard to claim 13, of Song et al and Oberg et al and Arecco disclose all of the subject matter as applied to claim 1 above, and Song et al further discloses wherein each of the remote nodes comprises:

light sources (e.g., the light sources that generates the signal λm in Figure 5) for generating, for a given channel in a channel group, an optical signal having higher priority (e.g., the signal λ_m , shown in dashed line, counter-clockwise, added by WDM 201 in Figure 5, and Figure 6) and an optical signal having lower priority (e.g., the signal λ_m , shown in dashed line, clockwise, added by WDM 202 in Figure 5, and Figure 6);

a bidirectional add/drop multiplexer (the ADD/DROP, Figure 5) for dropping a high-priority optical signal (dropped by the WDM 201 in Figure 5) and a low-priority optical signal (dropped by the WDM 202 in Figure 5) of a given channel in the first channel group, transmitted from the optical transmission line, and adding to said optical transmission line the optical signals generated for said given channel (e.g., the signal λ_m , shown in dashed lines, added by WDM 201 and WDM 202 in Figure 5, and Figure 6) in the a channel group; and

receivers (receivers to receiver the dropped signals, Figures 5 and 6, [0083]) for receiving the dropped optical signals.

But, Song et al teaches that the dropped channels and the added wavelengths are in same group or same wavelengths. Song et al does not disclose that a second channel group with different wavelengths are added or transmitted to the center office (CO) and the center office receives the second channel group.

However, to use different wavelengths for upstream and downstream is well known and widely used method. Oberg et al discloses that the center office can transmit low channels or odd channels for downstream and the remote node can add high channel or even channels for upstream (Figure 5, [0066]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the wavelength arrangement as taught by Oberg et al to the system of Song et al so that the upstream and downstream channels have different wavelengths and then the interference between channels with same

wavelength can be reduced and the wavelength mixing due to the backward scattering of the same wavelength can be eliminated.

6). With regard to claim 14, Song et al and Oberg et al and Arecco disclose all of the subject matter as applied to claims 1 and 13 above. But Song et al does not expressly disclose wherein each of the remote nodes further comprises an optical switch installed between the bidirectional add/drop multiplexer and said optical transmission line, for performing a switching operation so that in case of a system failure, the optical signal having higher priority can be recovered first.

However, Oberg et al discloses an optical switch (33 in Figures 4, 6 and 11) installed between the bidirectional add/drop multiplexer and said optical transmission line, for performing a switching operation so that in case of a system failure, the optical signal having higher priority can be recovered first ([0070]-[0073]).

Oberg et al teaches the high priority signal protection and cost saving: a common wavelength is used for both high priority and low priority channels, no extra wavelength is occupied.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a switch taught by Oberg et al to the system of Song et al so that the high priority channels can be protected and the a bidirectional low cost single fiber system can be obtained.

7). With regard to claim 15, Song et al and Oberg et al and Arecco discloses all of the subject matter as applied to claims 1, 13 and 14 above. But Song et al does not expressly disclose wherein each of the remote nodes monitors presence/absence of a

system failure by measuring a high-priority optical signal of a channel in the first channel group, said optical signal of a channel in the first channel group having been transmitted from the optical transmission line for said measuring.

However, Oberg et al discloses that the remote node monitors presence/absence of a system failure by measuring a high-priority optical signal of a channel in the first channel group, said optical signal of a channel in the first channel group having been transmitted from the optical transmission line for said measuring (power monitor 37 in Figure 4 and 6) '

Oberg et al teaches the high priority signal protection and cost saving: a common wavelength is used for both high priority and low priority channels, no extra wavelength is occupied.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a switch taught by Oberg et al to the system of Song et al so that the high priority channels can be protected and the a bidirectional low cost single fiber system can be obtained.

8). With regard to claim 16, Song et al and Oberg et al and Arecco discloses all of the subject matter as applied to claims 1 and 13-15 above. And Oberg et al further discloses wherein each of the remote nodes comprises:

optical couplers ([0064]) each connected to the optical transmission line where a high-priority optical signal is received in a normal state, for extracting a high-priority optical signal;

a photo diode (37 in Figures 4, 6 and 8) for detecting an optical power of a highpriority optical signal extracted by an optical coupler of said optical couplers; and

an optical switch control circuit ([0064] and [0072]) connected to the photo diode, for controlling the optical switch of the respective remote node according the detected optical power.

9). With regard to claim 17, Song et al and Oberg et al and Arecco disclose all of the subject matter as applied to claims 1 and 13-16 above. And Oberg et al further discloses wherein the optical switch in each remote node comprises a 2x2 optical switch (33 in Figures 4, 6 and 11) having two pairs of ports, each pair being, in said ring network, ring-wise on opposite sides of the bidirectional add/drop multiplexer, wherein the ports of one of the two pairs are connected in parallel to the ports of the other of the two pairs in a normal state, whereas the connections to the other of the two pairs of ports are reconfigured to swap respective sources from among said one of the two pairs in response to a system failure ([0064], [0072], [0073] and [0090]-[0093]).

For claim 16 and 17, Oberg et al teaches the monitoring and switching. By monitoring the signal loss, traffic can be controlled or routed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the monitoring and switches taught by Oberg et al to the system of Song et al so that a low cost single fiber system with high priority channels being protected can be obtained.

5. Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song et al (US 2004/0033076) and Oberg et al (US 2005/0084262) and Arecco (US

6,400,476) as applied to claims 1-3 above, and in further view of Fang et al (US 6,504,963)

1). With regard to claim 6, Song et al and Oberg et al Arecco disclose all of the subject matter as applied to claims 1-3 above. But Song et al does not disclose wherein the first optical switches are individually selectively actuatable to heal the network in response to topologically where on the ring network a break in the optical transmission line has occurred.

Arecco discloses an optical switch (131 in Figure 1, column 5 line 9-43, and column 7 line 24-30) for setting a path according to priorities, and the switch is actuatable to heal the network. But since Arecco only gives one example of a single wavelength transmitted in the ring, not show that the optical switches are individually selectively actuatable to heal the network in response to topologically where on the ring network a break in the optical transmission line has occurred.

However, Fang et al discloses a switch system in which switches are individually selectively actuatable to heal the network in response to topologically where on the ring network a break in the optical transmission line has occurred (Figures 5-8, column 5 line 33 to column 6 line 26).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the switches taught by Fang and Arecco et al to the system of Song et al so that the a low cost single fiber system with high priority channels being protected can be obtained.

2). With regard to claim 7, Song et al and Oberg et al and Arecco and Fang et al discloses all of the subject matter as applied to claims 1-3 and 6 above. But Song et al does not disclose wherein the healing preferentially provides for the first channel group a transmission path along the optical transmission line to a high-priority signal over its respective low-priority signal at said common wavelength.

Page 18

However, Arecco discloses wherein the healing preferentially provides for the first channel group a transmission path along the optical transmission line to a high-priority signal over its respective low-priority signal at said common wavelength (column 5 line 20-43, when breakdown occurs, the high priority channel utilizes in both directions of propagation the complementary network path previously used by the low priority channel. Low priority traffic is lost until the situation returns to normal).

Arecco teaches the high priority signal protection and cost saving. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the healing scheme taught by Arecco to the system of Song et al so that the high priority channels can be protected and the a bidirectional low cost single fiber system can be obtained.

3). With regard to claim 8, Song et al and Oberg et al and Arecco and Fang et al disclose all of the subject matter as applied to claims 1-3, 6 and 7 above. But Song et al does not disclose wherein the second optical switches are individually selectively actuatable to heal the network in response to topologically where on the ring network a break in the optical transmission line has occurred.

Arecco discloses one optical switch (132 in Figure 1, column 5 line 9-43, and column 7 line 24-30) for setting a path according to priorities, and the switch is actuatable to heal the network. But since Arecco only give one example of single wavelength ring, not show that the optical switches are individually selectively actuatable to heal the network in response to topologically where on the ring network a break in the optical transmission line has occurred.

However, Fang et al discloses a switch system in which switches are individually selectively actuatable to heal the network in response to topologically where on the ring network a break in the optical transmission line has occurred (Figures 5-8, column 5 line 33 to column 6 line 26).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the switches taught by Fang and Arecco et al to the system of Song et al so that the a low cost single fiber system with high priority channels being protected can be obtained.

4). With regard to claim 9, Song et al and Oberg et al and Arecco and Fang et al discloses all of the subject matter as applied to claims 1-3 and 6-8 above. But Song et al does not disclose wherein the healing preferentially provides for the second channel group a transmission path along the optical transmission line to a high-priority signal over its respective low-priority signal at said common wavelength.

However, Arecco further discloses wherein the healing preferentially provides for the second channel group a transmission path along the optical transmission line to a high-priority signal over its respective low-priority signal at said common wavelength (column 5 line 20-43, when breakdown occurs, the high priority channel utilizes in both directions of propagation the complementary network path previously used by the low priority channel. Low priority traffic is lost until the situation returns to normal).

Arecco teaches the high priority signal protection and cost saving. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the healing scheme taught by Arecco to the system of Song et al so that the high priority channels can be protected and the a bidirectional low cost single fiber system can be obtained.

5). With regard to claim 10, Song et al in view of Arecco and Oberg et al discloses all of the subject matter as applied to claims 1-3 above. But Song et al does not disclose wherein the second optical switches are individually selectively actuatable to heal the network in response to topologically where on the ring network a break in the optical transmission line has occurred.

Arecco discloses an optical switch (132 in Figure 1, column 5 line 9-43, and column 7 line 24-30) for setting a path according to priorities, and the switch is actuatable to heal the network. But since Arecco only gives one example of single wavelength ring, not show that the optical switches are individually selectively actuatable to heal the network in response to topologically where on the ring network a break in the optical transmission line has occurred.

However, Fang et al discloses a switch system in which switches are individually selectively actuatable to heal the network in response to topologically where on the ring

Art Unit: 2613

network a break in the optical transmission line has occurred (Figures 5-8, column 5 line 33 to column 6 line 26).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the switches taught by Fang and Arecco et al to the system of Song et al so that the a low cost single fiber system with high priority channels being protected can be obtained.

6). With regard to claim 11, Song et al and Oberg et al and Arecco and Fang et al discloses all of the subject matter as applied to claims 1-3 and 10 above. But Song et al does not disclose wherein the healing preferentially provides for the second channel group a transmission path along the optical transmission line to a high-priority signal over its respective low-priority signal at said common wavelength

However, Arecco further discloses wherein the healing preferentially provides for the second channel group a transmission path along the optical transmission line to a high-priority signal over its respective low-priority signal at said common wavelength (column 5 line 20-43, when breakdown occurs, the high priority channel utilizes in both directions of propagation the complementary network path previously used by the low priority channel. Low priority traffic is lost until the situation returns to normal).

Arecco teaches the high priority signal protection and cost saving. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the healing scheme taught by Arecco et al to the system of Song et al so that the high priority channels can be protected and the a bidirectional low cost single fiber system can be obtained.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Shen et al (Shen et al: "A Novel Single-Fiber Bidirectional Optical Add/Drop Multiplexer for Distribution Networks", Optical Fiber Communication Conference and Exhibit, 2001. OFC 2001, Vol. 3, page(s): WY5-1- WY5-3) discloses a single fiber bidirectional networks.

Duerksen et al (US 6,321,004) discloses a protectin switching in bidirectional WDM optical networks.

Sakano et al (US 2001/0026384) discloses a single fiber low-cost optical network with switches in center node.

Sato (US 6,477,288) discloses a optical line switching system for ring networks.

Zhao et al (Zhao et al: "A Novel Bi-Directional Add/Drop Module for Single Fiber Bi-Directional Self-Healing Wavelength Division Multiplexed Ring Networks", Optical Fiber Communication Conference, 1999, OFC/IOOC '99, Vol. 1, page 183-185) discloses a single-fiber bi-directional networks.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li Liu whose telephone number is (571)270-1084. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:00 am - 5:30 pm, alternating Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Vanderpuye can be reached on (571)272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Li Liu February 20, 2007

Page 23

KENNETH VANDERPUYE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED